

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	DINVENTOR		ATTORNEY LOXINEE 10
077142,888	01/11/88 G	IBSON		S	SPC6947/6970
				ſ	EXAMINEL
DETEN C. DIC	MORCON			PESELEV,	
PETER C. RICH PFIZER INC.	HARDSUN				
235 EAST 42ND ST.					PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5755				183	13
				DATE MAILED:	03/12/91
his is a communication from COMMISSIONER OF PATE	n the examiner in chargo of y NTS AND TRADEMARKS	our application			
•					
This application has bee	en examined 🔀 Resp	consive to communication	filed on <u>/ 2 - /</u>	4-90	This action is made final.
hortened statutory period	for response to this actio	on is set to expire	month(s),	days fro	om the date of this letter.
ure to respond within the	period for response will	cause the application to b	benobnada emoce	l. 35 U.S.C. 133	
1 THE FOLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(S) ARE I	PART OF THIS ACTION:			
			_		
	nces Cited by Examiner,		===	re Patent Drawing	
	ed by Applicant, PTO-144		=	of Informal Patent	Application, Form PTO-152
i. L. Information on H	low to Effect Drawing Cha	anges, PTO-1474.	6. 🔲		<del></del>
II SUMMARY OF A	CTION ' '				
, m	- 1 1-	<b>a</b>			
1. [X] Claims	3 and 4	<u>ට</u>			are pending in the applicatio
Of the ab	ove, claims				are withdrawn from consideration
2. Claims					have been cancelled.
3, Claims					are allowed.
4. 1 Claims 1-35 and 40					are rejected.
					are objected to.
					ction or election requirement.
6. Claims					:
7. This application	has been filed with inform	nal drawings under 37 C.F	.R. 1.85 which are	ecceptable for ex	zamination purposes.
8.  Formal drawings	are required in response	to this Office action.			
	substitute drawings have				der 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawing
		see explanation or Notice	re Patent Drawing	PTO-948).	•
n The proposed a	dditional or substitute she	et(s) of drawings, filed on		. has (have) bee	n 🗆 approved by the
	isapproved by the examin		-	_, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<b>_</b> 4p.0.000, 0.0
I1. The proposed dr	awing correction, filed	ha	ıs been □ appro	ved; 🛘 disappro	ved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgeme	nt is made of the claim fo	r priority under U.S.C. 11	9. The certified co	py has 🔲 been r	sceived not been received
		no			
		ondition for allowance exo rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;		ers, prosecution e	s to the merits is closed in
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an humana mami ex he	<del></del> , 1000 0.0. 11,	O.G. 210.		
14. 🔲 Other					

Serial No. 142888

Art Unit 183

Claims 1-4, 10, 12, 17-18, 22, 26, 28, 33-35 and 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 4,980,370. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the U.S. patent 4,980,370 encompass the instant compounds wherein R2 is C3-C8 cycloalkyl or C6 cycloalkenyl group.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent. In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112,

Serial No. 142888 Art Unit 183

first paragraph, as failing to provide assurance of permanent availability of the culture to the public through a depository. Such assurance may be in the form of averment or by declaration under oath by the applicant to this effect.

It appears that the practice of this invention requires the use of a microorganism belonging to streptomyces avermitiles. While it is noted that a deposit has been made with the National Collection of Industrial Bacteria, there is no assurance that al restriction on the availability of this strain will be revoked upon the ussuance of a U.S. patent based on this application. Such assurance, in the form of a statement under oath, declaration, or averment may be used to overcome this rejection. See MPEP 608.01 (P).

Claims 1-35 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The terminal Disclaimer submitted by applicants has been entered. Applicant's remarks submitted in the Amendment filed December 14, 1990 have been considered but have not been found persuasive insofar as the above rejections relate to the claims.

Serial No. 142888

Art Unit 183

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (703) 308-3975.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Peselev/tf February 24,1991

ELLI PESELEV
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 183